

Essential Oils Antagonism against Three Hygiene Significant Yeasts and Juice Spoilage by *Saccharomyces Cerevisiae*

Sahar Yassin Ibrahim^{1*}

1. Botany Department, Faculty of Women for Arts, Science and Education, Ain Shams University, Cairo, Egypt.

Abstract

Antifungal antagonism of different fourteen plant essential oils was examined as natural agents against economic and hygienic effective three yeasts; the preservative efficacy of most potent anti-yeast essential oil in food sanitary was also tested. Study involved oils antifungal bioactivity screening against *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*, *Candida albicans*, and *Candida utilis*. Study also included selection and *in vitro* extraction of most bioactive oil, and evaluation of its antifungal minimal inhibitory concentrations (MICs). Control of juice spoilage by *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* under the effect of *in vitro* oil extract different concentrations was also screened. Among the tested essential oils, apricot seed oil was the most bioactive anti-yeast agent. Two MIC values of apricot oil *in vitro* extract, $12.5\mu\text{gml}^{-1}$ and $25\mu\text{gml}^{-1}$ were recorded. In juice samples, oil extract bioactivity increased gradually up to concentration $100\mu\text{gml}^{-1}$. Highest oil preservative ability was observed at oil concentration of and above $125\mu\text{gml}^{-1}$. Higher oil concentrations needed for juice preservation were found more than *in vitro* assay to give the same effect. Applying of apricot oil and some other plant essential oils could be used as an environmental safety mode in osmophilic food preservation and in *Candida* diseases biocontrol.

Corresponding author: Sahar Yassin Ibrahim, Botany Department, Faculty of Women for Arts, Science and Education, Ain Shams University, Cairo, Egypt Tel.: 00201006556388,

E-mail: sahar_moussa@women.asu.edu.eg

Citation: Sahar Yassin Ibrahim (2017) Essential Oils Antagonism Against Three Hygiene Significant Yeasts and Juice Spoilage by *Saccharomyces Cerevisiae*. Journal of Human Health Research - 1(1):1-10. <https://doi.org/10.14302/issn.2576-9383.jhhr-17-1768>

Keywords: essential oils; antagonism; antifungal; food spoilage; osmophilic; apricot; *Saccharomyces*; *Candida*.

Running headline: Antimicrobial bioactivity of Essential Oils

Received: Sep 13,2017

Accepted: Oct 24,2017

Published: Nov 10,2017

Academic Editor: Jong In Kim, Wonkwang University

Introduction :

Antimicrobial activities of plant extracted essential oils (EOs) and their components play a detectable role in environment hygiene as alternative natural substances. EOs or aromatic plant essences are volatile and fragrant substances with oily consistency typically produced by plants. EOs have been long recognized for their antimicrobial, antiviral, insecticidal, antiparasitic, antidermatophytic, cytotoxic activities, antioxidant properties and as flavoring agents in foods [1-4]. They are widely used in medicine and in food industry as natural antimicrobial agents to control food-borne bacteria and other pathogenic microorganisms [5-9]. In food industry, food preservation with natural antimicrobial products is being popularly used due to increase of consumer perception and concern regarding synthetic chemical additives [10]. This supports applying of EOs as natural agents in food preservation. Apricot seed EO (*Prunus armeniaca*) was rarely investigated as antimicrobial agent [8,9]. It showed a strong antimicrobial bioactivity and was recommended to be used as a natural food preservative and as an environmental safety mode of diseases control.

Yeasts are significant microorganisms that have positive and negative effects within food industry and microbiology. Some osmophilic yeasts are potent food spoilage agents responsible for large economic losses of some food products according to their ability to survive under special environmental conditions of low pH and water activity, and in the presence of some common chemical preservatives [11-13]. Moreover, food-associated yeasts could be an underestimated source of infections and public health risks [14]. As a result, yeasts have been considered as an important and attractive research area. *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* is one of the most remarkable yeasts in food industries; as a starter for several common food products; as a spoilage causative microorganism of osmophilic foods [15-17].

Candida is a genus of yeasts which is the most not unusual purpose of fungal infections global. About 20 out of over 150 known species of *Candida* they have been found to cause problems for the health of humans. During the last three decades the number of fungal infections caused by *Candida* species has increased dramatically [18]. Candidiasis is a mycosis

caused by different *Candida* species, which can promote superficial and systemic opportunist diseases around the world [19]. *Candida utilis* is anamorphic form of *Pichia jadinii*, known for its industrial applications and rarely associated with disease [18].

The increasing clinical importance of mycoses in veterinary medicine in addition to the emergence of more severe presentations prompts to the development of new diagnostic procedures and treatments [19&20]. Most antifungals currently available for the treatment of different clinical forms of this disease have limitations that hinder their use, which makes the search for safe, efficient antimycotic products or molecules necessary [19]. Essential oils have long been utilized to help eradicate *Candida* from the body; moreover, many researches indicated that EOs have potent activity against *Candida* species [19 &21-25].

Current study was designed to assess the fungicidal antagonism of 14 plants commercial EOs against three economic and hygienic important yeast strains. Likewise, to select and test the most significant fungicidal oil in controlling juice spoilage caused by *S. cerevisiae*.

Materials and Methods

Study Design

Fourteen EOs were screened for their bioactivity against three yeast strains. Most significant bioactive EO was selected and re-extracted in vitro; then studied for its minimal inhibitory concentration (MIC) value against three yeasts and for its effectiveness in shelf-life extending of osmophilic fresh juice. Sugar cane juice was examined as a suspected suitable target environment for *S. cerevisiae* growth. Assessment of inhibition zone diameter, viable count (VC) and juice fermentation symptoms were the indicators of oil bioactivity.

Essential Oil and Plant Material

Commercial EOs from different fourteen plants were collected from "Al Captain Company" (Cairo, Egypt) and "Al-Ahlam for Seeds Oil" (Production Jeddah, Saudi Arabia). They were *Thyme vulgaris*, *Nigella sativa*, *Prunus amygdolus*, *Olea europaea*, *Allium sativum*, *Syzygium aromaticum*, *Aloe vera barbadensis*, *Mentha piperita*, *Ocimum basilicum*, *Sinapis alba*, *Eucalyptus sp.*, *Origanum vulgare*,

Armoracia rusticana, and *Prunus. armeniaca*. Seeds of apricot, *Pru. armeniaca*, and fresh sugar cane juice were collected and immediately undergone bioassay.

Test Organisms

Identified fungal strains, *Candida albicans*, and *Candida utilis* were kindly provided by Botany and Microbiology Department, Faculty of Science, Al Azhar Univ., Cairo, Egypt. *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* was local strain.

Microbial cultures were maintained on yeast extract malt extract agar (YEMEA) medium (diffco) at 4°C to be used as stock cultures.

Anti-Yeast Bioactivity Screening

Bioactivity of EOs was screened using agar-well diffusion test (ADT), growth inhibition zone evaluation diameters, YEMEA and Glucose peptone broth (GPB) (diffco) [26-28]. For each target yeast strain, pre-cultured inoculum on GPB of 24 h age at 35°C incubation temperature was prepared and adjusted to final density of 10^4 CFU/ml. A set of sterile Petri dishes was prepared, each containing 25 ml sterile YEMEA seeded with 100 μ L target yeast inoculum. A well of 1.0 cm diameter/Petri dish was aseptically made centrally in the solidified seeded YEMEA and subsequently 1.0 ml of EO under investigation was added in each well. Negative and positive controls were prepared, 1.0 ml of sterile saline solution (0.85% NaCl) instead of oil for negative control and 1.0 ml of standard anti-yeast nystatin reference (10 mg/ml sterile water) for positive one. The plates were kept at 4°C for 2-3 h to allow oil agar diffusion then incubated for 24 h at 35°C. Anti-yeast oil bioactivity was evaluated by measuring diameters of confirmed inhibition zones (included well diameter) in mm.

In Vitro Extraction of Prunus Armeniaca Seed Oil

Apricot seed oil was extracted by hydro-distillation and preserved in a sealed vial at 4°C prior to further studies [8-9].

Evaluation of Minimal Inhibitory Concentration

MIC evaluation of *in vitro* extracted apricot seed oil against each of the three yeast targets was assayed as follow: extracted oil stock solution was prepared; 1.0 gm extracted apricot seed oil was dissolved in 10 ml 5% dichloromethane [8]. Oil stock solution was added to sterile YEMEB to get final concentrations (100, 75, 50,

25 and 12.5 μ gml⁻¹). Agar-well diffusion test was applied as proceeded before using YEMEA medium seeded with one yeast strain; 1.0 ml of the tested concentration of oil extract was added in each well. Positive and negative controls were also involved. Triplicates of Petri dishes were performed for each concentration and controls. All Petri dishes were thereafter incubated at 35°C for 24 h. Diameters of differentiated inhibition zones in addition to well diameters were measured in mm. Diameter mean values were calculated and MIC value was specified. The MIC was determined as the lowest concentration of anti-yeast showing a zone of growth inhibition and expressed in μ gml⁻¹.

Osmophilic Juice Preservative Efficacy

In vitro extracted *Pru. armeniaca* seed oil was tested for its preservative efficiency against *S. cerevisiae* spoilage of osmophilic juice. Sugar cane fresh juice, *Saccharum* spp., was the target osmophilic juice. Juice sample was collected, examined biologically according to the Laboratory Methods in Food Microbiology [29] and sterilized by filtration. A set of nine flasks containing 100 ml sterile juice sample/flask was prepared and tested for sterility using sterile YEMEA medium. Extracted *Pru. armeniaca* seed oil stock was added to each flask to prepare seven concentrations in addition to the negative and positive controls. The seven tested concentrations were 200, 175, 150, 125, 100, 75 and 50 μ l oil stock/100ml sample. All flasks were then inoculated under aseptic conditions with 100 μ l yeast inoculum/100 ml juice sample and mixed well. A broth culture of *S. cerevisiae* adjusted to final density of 10^4 CFU/ml was used as the target inoculum. Samples were incubated at room temperature and inspected daily for 10 days. Yeast juice spoilage was followed via monitoring of sample fermentation for alcohol odor and gassy appearance and evaluation of yeast VC expressed in CFU/ml on YEMEA medium. Data of juice fermentation following up and VC evaluation in juice samples were collected daily.

Statistical Analysis

After testing the data for normality, two way analysis of variance (ANOVA) was used to assess the significance of variations of yeast viable count (CFU/ml) under different incubation time and concentration of oil according to SPSS software (SPSS, 2006) [30].

Results :

Anti-Yeast Bioactivity Screening

The representative three yeasts showed variable responses to the 14 tested oils as mentioned in Table 1. The widest yeast inhibition spectrum (23-25 mm) was confirmed with *Pru. armeniaca* EO, followed by *M. piperita*, *S. aromaticum*, *O. basilicum*, *O. vulgare* and *O. europaea* in ascending order. On contrast, *Pru. amygdolus* exhibited the lowest antifungal activity with 11-12 mm inhibition zones against three tested yeasts.

Evaluation of Minimal Inhibitory Concentration

On comparing zone diameters evaluation data in the dose response, a negative correlation between yeast growth and oil concentration value of *Pru. armeniaca* EO was observed; where the growth of the three yeasts were decreased with increasing oil concentration (Fig. 1). As a result of achieved data, $12.5\mu\text{gml}^{-1}$ was recorded as the *Pru. armeniaca* oil MIC against *C. utilis* (Fig. 1). While $25\mu\text{gml}^{-1}$ was confirmed as the *Pru. armeniaca* MIC against both *S. cerevisiae* and *C. albicans*.

Osmophilic Juice Preservative Efficacy

Control of *S. cerevisiae* juice spoilage was effectively impressed by apricot oil extract at room temperature (Table 2 and Fig. 2). According to estimated data, it was found that high oil concentrations were needed for effectively juice preservation against yeast fermentation even for 10 days of incubation. Also yeast VC was markedly declined at high and relatively high concentrations. At the same time, low oil extract concentrations showed low tendency in juice preservation. In negative controls of oil-free juice, yeast VC was over counting and vigorous juice spoilage symptoms were observed; extreme alcohol odor and gassy appearance appeared at the end of the second day of storage at room temperature. It was noticeable in Fig 1 and Fig. 2 that the anti-yeast bioactivity of oil extract in juice samples were detected at higher oil concentrations than in vitro assay.

Statistical Analysis

Statistical analysis detected high significant difference among the incubation periods, oil concentrations and the interaction between incubation period and oil concentration.

Discussion

Current study objectives were designated in accordance with several studies who examined plants derived EOs for their various biological properties. EOs were tested as natural antimicrobial active agents in food sanitary [31-38] and as a natural therapeutic treatment of *Candida* and other dermatophyte infections [9,18-25]. The antagonistic property detected for EOs from *Pru. armeniaca*, *M. piperita*, *S. aromaticum*, *O. basilicum*, *O. vulgare* and *O. europaea* were also proved by Abd El Salam and Ibrahim (2014) [8] and Ibrahim and Abd El Salam (2015) [9] who studied the efficacy of different EOs against foodborne, food spoilage and pathogenic bacteria and fungi [8,9]. As well, *O. vulgare* recorded a remarkable antifungal activity against *Candida* species when investigated by Cleff *et al* (2010) [19]. In present assay, bioactive EOs confirmed their effectiveness in controlling yeast overgrowth and inhibition of yeast formation; The EOs antagonism was clarified by Bakkali, *et al* (2008) [31] who worked on EOs inhibitory effect, they explained that because of the mode of EOs extraction, mostly by distillation from aromatic plants, they contain a variety of volatile molecules such as terpenes and terpenoids, phenol derived aromatic components and aliphatic component. These oil constituents are responsible for developing the antimicrobial oil activity. They also added that in eukaryotic cells, essential oils can act as prooxidants affecting inner cell membranes and organelles. Furthermore, they recorded EOs as antioxidants; and in some cases, EOs can be associated with their capacity to exert antigenotoxic effects. Burt (2004) [36] added that the hydrophobicity of EOs enables them to partition in the lipids of the cell membrane and mitochondria, rendering them permeable and leading to leakage of cell contents.

Antimicrobial activity of apricot seed oil was rarely investigated before, only two studies was published [8,9]; in agreement with these two researches, present assay concluded that apricot seed oil could be applied as natural antimicrobial and save

its juice preservation effectiveness. Also, it is expected that under refrigeration, needed EO concentrations will be lower for juice preservation than registered in this study.

Table 1 Evaluation of growth inhibition zones diameters formed by bioactive commercial essential oils against three yeast strains

			Yeast strain		
			<i>S.cerevisiae</i>	<i>C. albicans</i>	<i>C. utilis</i>
Essential Oils					
	Common name	Latin name	Inhibition zone diameter (mm)		
1	Apricot	<i>Prunus armeniaca</i>	24	25	25
2	Mint	<i>Mentha piperita</i>	18	24	24
3	Cloves	<i>Syzygium aromaticum</i>	20	21	21
4	Basil	<i>Ocimum basilicum</i>	18	20	20
5	Oregano	<i>Origanum vulgare</i>	19	18	18
6	Olive	<i>Olea europaea</i>	17	19	19
7	Camphor	<i>Eucalyptus sp.</i>	16	18	19
8	Horseradish	<i>Armoracia rusticana</i>	19	16	17
9	Garlic	<i>Allium sativum</i>	18	16	17
10	Black cumin	<i>Nigella sativa</i>	14	15	15
11	Thyme	<i>Thyme vulgaris</i>	18	13	13
12	Mustard	<i>Sinapis alba</i>	18	14	15
13	Aloe	<i>Aloe vera barbadensis</i>	13	19	19
14	Almonds	<i>Prunus amygdalus</i>	11	12	12

* Inhibition zone diameter included well diameter




Figure 1 Effect of different concentrations of *in-vitro* extracted *Prunus armeniace* oil on the growth inhibition zones diameters against three yeast strains




Figure 2 Efficiency of *in-vitro* extracted *Prunus armeniace* oil concentrations against *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* viable count in sugar cane juice at different incubation periods under room temperature

F value: For incubation period → 85.4*** For oil concentration → 56.2*** For incubation period*oil concentration → 42.8**, *** p < 0.001, **p < 0.01

Table 2. Spoilage symptoms of 100 ml sugar cane juice sample inoculated with 100µl *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* in relation to different concentrations of *Prunus armeniaca* oil in vitro extract at different incubation periods under room temperature

<i>Prunus armeniaca</i> oil concentration (µl/100ml)	Spoilage symptoms (fermentation appearance)													
	Incubation period (day)													
	1		2		3		4		5		6		7 - 10	
Control (0)	+ ^a	- ^b	++ ^a	+ ^b	+++ ^a	+++ ^b	+++ ^a	+++ ^b	+++ ^a	+++ ^b	+++ ^a	+++ ^b	+++ ^a	+++ ^b
50	+ ^a	- ^b	+ ^a	- ^b	++ ^a	- ^b	++ ^a	+ ^b	++ ^a	++ ^b	++ ^a	++ ^b	+++ ^a	+++ ^b
75	- ^a	- ^b	- ^b	- ^b	+ ^a	- ^b	+ ^a	- ^b	+ ^a	+ ^b	++ ^a	+ ^b	++ ^a	++ ^b
100	- ^a	- ^b	- ^b	- ^b	- ^a	- ^b	- ^a	- ^b	- ^a	- ^b	+ ^a	+ ^b	+ ^a	+ ^b
125 - 200	- ^a	- ^b	- ^b	- ^b	- ^a	- ^b	- ^a	- ^b	- ^a	- ^b	- ^a	- ^b	- ^a	- ^b

+++a: strong alcohol odor ++ a: detectable alcohol odor
 +a: barely alcohol odor - a: no alcohol odor
 +++b: vigorous gas effervescence ++b: detectable gas effervescence
 + b: beginning of gas effervescence - b: no gas effervescence

food preservative agent and as an environmental safety mode of diseases.

In current results of MIC evaluation, two values were established as a result of yeast strain variability. In accordance, four MIC values of apricot oil extract were recorded by Abd El Salam and Ibrahim (2014) [8] against different microbial strains. On contrast, Ibrahim and Abd El Salam (2015) [9] registered one MIC value on testing apricot oil activity against different dermatophytes. The negative correlation deduced between *Pru. armeniaca* oil extract effectiveness and yeast growth was also in parallel with these two researches [8,9]; and with Bakkali *et al* (2008) [31] who concluded that depending on type and concentration, EOs exhibit cytotoxic effects on living cells.

In agreement with different investigations that discussed EOs effect against growth and spoilage of yeasts in drinks and foods [39-42], present survey achieved noticeable bioactivities of different EOs against *S. cerevisiae* growth and juice spoilage. Fermented *S. cerevisiae* produces alcoholic odor and even gassy appearance on spoiling osmophilic drinks according to its high VC. Both of fermentation symptoms and yeast VC were used for monitoring juice spoilage progress.

Screening evaluation data of oil concentration needed for controlling juice spoilage were found in accordance with many investigators results; Burt (2004) [36], who searched antibacterial properties of EOs and their and potential applications in foods, recorded that a higher concentration is needed to achieve the same effect in foods; Bassolé and Juliani (2012) [5] mentioned that in food systems, higher concentrations of EOs are needed to exert similar antibacterial effects as those obtained in in vitro assays; Abd El Salam and Ibrahim (2014) [8] tested *in vitro* extracted *Pru. armeniaca* oil against microbial spoilage of raw foods, they estimated high oil concentrations needed for raw food preservation more than needed in vitro assay. Spoilage incidents caused by yeasts are controlled by many preservative systems as were discussed by Stratford and James (2003) [42]; chilled storage effect on extending the open shelf life of foods and fruit juices were examined in various searches; Ghalfi *et al.* (2007) [37] examined EOs effectiveness in pork meat during cold storage; Belletti *et al.* (2008) [38] applied refrigeration in addition to EO for efficacy enhancement in fruit-based salads preservation during storage. As a result, it is recommended to use refrigeration with apricot oil extract to enhance

Conclusion :

P. armeniaca, *M. piperita*, *S. aromaticum*, *O. basilicum*, *O. vulgare* and *O. europaea* EOs are strong yeast growth inhibitors against important economic and hygienic strains, *S. cerevisiae*, *C. albicans* and *C. utilis*. Apricot, *P. armeniaca*, seed oil are the most potent whose oil *in-vitro* seed extract is bioactive at low concentrations. Apricot seed oil extract is also a strong preservative agent against osmophilic juice spoilage via *S. cerevisiae*. So, it is recommended to use apricot seed oil and some bioactive EOs as natural bio-products for osmophilic food preservation and as a safety mode of candidates control instead of chemotherapy.

Acknowledgement

The author gratefully acknowledges members of Microbiology and Botany Department, Al Azhar University for their generous assistance in providing *Candida* strains included in this work.

Conflict of Interest

The authors have declared no conflict of interest.

Affiliations

Sahar Yassin Ibrahim, Botany Department, Faculty of Women for Arts, Science and Education ,Ain Shams University, Cairo, Egypt.

References :

1. Santos F.S. and Novales M.G.M. (2012) Essential oils from aromatic herbs as antimicrobial agents. *Current Opinion in Biotechnology* 23: 141-136.
2. Abudunia A.M., Hafidi H., Algabr M., Akachar J., Almahbashi H., et al (2017) Evaluation of essential oils for antimicrobial activity from some Moroccan aromatic plants medicinal. *J. Mater. Environ. Sci.*, 8 (12) 4240-4245
3. Bajalana I., Rouzbahania R., Pirbaloutib A. G., and Maggid F. (2017) Antioxidant and antibacterial activities of the essential oils obtained from seven Iranian populations of *Rosmarinus officinalis*. *Industrial Crops & Products* 107, 305–311
4. Alcoba A. E. T., de Melo D. C., de Andrade P. M., Dias H. J., Pagotti M. C., et al (2017) Chemical composition and in vitro antileishmanial and cytotoxic activities of the essential oils of *Ocotea dispersa* (Nees) Mez and *Ocotea odorifera* (Vell) Rohwer (Lauraceae) *Natural Product Res.* <https://doi.org/10.1080/14786419.2017.1385007>
5. Bassolé I.H.N. and Juliani H.R. (2012) Essential Oils in Combination and Their Antimicrobial Properties. *Mol.* 17(4): 4006 -3989
6. Oroojalian F., Kasra-Kermanshahi R., Azizi M., and Bassami M.R. (2010) Phytochemical composition of the essential oils from three Apiaceae species and their antibacterial effects on food-borne pathogens. *Food Chem.* 120 (3): 765–70
7. Gutierrez G., Barry-Ryan C., and Bourke P. (2009) Antimicrobial activity of plant essential oils using food model media: efficacy, synergistic potential and interaction with food components. *School of Food Science and Environmental Health, Dublin Institute of Technology, Ireland*
8. Abd El-Salam M.M and Ibrahim S.Y. (2014) Antimicrobial properties of 39 essential oils against thirteen foodborne microorganisms; efficacy and environmental hygiene of *Prunus armeniaca* in raw food preservation under cold storage. *J Environ. Occup. Sci.* 3(3): 169-162.
9. Ibrahim S.Y. and Abd El-Salam MM. (2015) Antidermatophyte efficacy and environmental safety of some essential oils commercial and in vitro extracted pure and combined against four keratinophilic pathogenic fungi. *Environ. Health Prev. Med.* 20: 286–279
10. Holley R.A. and Patel D. (2005) Improvement in shelf-life and safety of perishable foods by plant essential oils and smoke antimicrobials. *Food Microbiol.* 22 (4): 273–92.
11. Querol A. (2006) The yeast handbook. Yeasts in food and beverages. Fleet GH (eds). Verlag Berlin Heidelberg, Springer p. 8-7.
12. Gerez C.L., Torino MI, and Obregozo M.D. (2010) Font de Valdez G. A ready-to-use antifungal starter culture improves the shelf life of packaged bread. *J. Food Prot.* 73(4): 762-758.
13. Serpaggi V., Remize F., Grand A.S.L., and Alexandre H. (2010) Specific identification and quantification of the spoilage microorganism *Brettanomyces* in wine by flow cytometry: a useful tool for winemakers. *Cytom.* 77(6): 499- 497.

14. Fleet G.H. (2007) Yeasts in foods and beverages: impact on product quality and safety. *Curr. Opin. Biotechnol.* 18(2):175-170.
15. Pitt J.I. and Hocking A.D. (2009) *Fungi and food spoilage*. New York, USA: Springer.p.393.
16. Swanson K.M.J. (2011) International Commission on Microbiological Specifications for Foods (ICMSF). *Milk and Dairy Prod.* 2: 327-305.
17. Xi-Lin X., Guang-Li F., Hong-Wei L., Xiao-Feng L., Guang-lei Z., et al (2014) Isolation, identification and control of osmophilic spoilage yeasts in sweetened condensed milk. *Afr. J. Microbiol. Res.* 8 (10): 1039-1032.
18. Scoppettuolo G., Donato C., De Carolis E., Vella A., Vaccaro L., et al. (2014) *Candida utilis* catheter-related bloodstream infection. *Med. Mycol. Case Rep.* 6: 72–70.
19. Cleff M.B., Meinerz A.R., Xavier M., Schuch L.F., Meireles M.C.A., et al. (2010) In vitro activity of *Origanum vulgare* essential oil against *Candida* species. *Brazilian J. of Microbiol.* 41 (1): São Paulo
20. Cohen J.M., Ross M.W. and Busschers E. (2008) Diagnosis and management of *Candida utilis* infectious arthritis in a Standardbred filly. *Equine vet. Educ.* 20 (7): 352-348
21. Khan M.S.A. and Ahmad I. (2012) Biofilm inhibition by *Cymbopogon citratus* and *Syzygium aromaticum* essential oils in the strains of *Candida albicans*. *J. of Ethnopharmacol.* 140 (2): 423-416.
22. Tyagi A.K. and Malik A. (2010) Liquid and vapour-phase antifungal activities of selected essential oils against *Candida albicans*: Microscopic observations and chemical characterization of *Cymbopogon citratus*. *BMC Complement. and Alter. Med.* 10:65
23. Rojas C., Francisco D., de Souza C.R.F., and Oliveira W.P. (2014) Clove (*Syzygium aromaticum*): a precious spice. *Asian Pacific J. of tropical biomedicine* 90-96.
24. Szweda P., Gucwa K., Kurzyk E., Romanowska E., Dzierżanowska F.K., et al. (2015) Essential Oils, Silver Nanoparticles and Propolis as alternative agents against fluconazole resistant *Candida albicans*, *Candida glabrata* and *Candida krusei* Clinical Isolates. *Indian J. Microbiol.* 55(2):175-83.
25. Abe S., Sato Y., Inoue S., Ishibashi H., Maruyama N., et al. (2003) Anti-*Candida albicans* activity of essential oils including Lemongrass (*Cymbopogon citratus*) oil and its component, citral. *Jpn J. of med. mycol.* 44(4):285-91.
26. Murray P.R., Baron E.J., Pfaller M.A., Tenover F.C., and Tenover F.C. (1999) *Manual of clinical microbiology. Antibacterial susceptibility tests: dilution and disk diffusion methods.* 7th edition. Washington: ASM Press
27. Ibrahim S.Y. (2002) *Microbiological and Biochemical Studies on Bioactive Actinomycete Products.* PhD Thesis. Egypt: Botany Department, Faculty of Women for Arts, Science and Education, Ain Shams University
28. Ibrahim S.Y. and Abd El-Salam M.M. (2016) Isolation of anti-fungal agent from a soil inhabitant *Streptomyces albaduncus*-M51 and its efficacy against osmophilic food spoilage by *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. *J. of Environ. and Occup. Sci.* 5 (2): 46-38.
29. Harrigan W.F. (1998) *Laboratory methods in food microbiology.* 3rd edition. New York: Academic Press
30. SPSS base 15.0 User's guide (2006). SPSS Inc., Chicago, USA.
31. Bakkali F., Averbeck S., Averbec D., and Idaomar M. (2008) Biological effects of essential oils – A review. *Food and Chemical Toxicology* 46: 475-446
32. Donsì F., Annunziata M., Sessa M., and Ferrari G. (2011) Nanoencapsulation of essential oils to enhance their antimicrobial activity in foods. *Food Sci. and Technol.* 44: 1914-1908
33. Gutierrez J., Barry-Ryan C., and Bourke P. (2009) Antimicrobial Activity of Plant Essential Oils Using Food Model Media: Efficacy, Synergistic Potential and Interaction with Food Components. *Food Microbiol.* 26 (2): 142-50
34. Bajpai V.K., Rahman A., and Kang S.C. (2008) Chemical composition and inhibitory parameters of essential oil and extracts of *Nandina domestica* Thunb. to control food-borne pathogenic and spoilage bacteria. *Int. J. of Food Microbiol.* 125 (2): 117 –22
35. Oussalah M., Caillet S., Saucier L., and Lacroix M.

- (2006) Antimicrobial effects of selected plant essential oils on the growth of a *Pseudomonas putida* strain isolated from meat. *Meat Sci.*73: 236–44.
36. Burt S. (2004) Essential oils: their antibacterial properties and potential applications in foods—a review. *Int. J. of Food Microbiol.* 94(3), 223-53.
37. Ghalfi H., Benkerroum N., Doguiet D.D.K., Bensaid M., and Thonart P. (2007) Effectiveness of cell-adsorbed bacteriocin produced by *Lactobacillus curvatus* CWBI-B28 and selected essential oils to control *Listeria monocytogenes* in pork meat during cold storage. *Lett. in Appl. Microbiol.* 44(3): 268-73.
38. Belletti N., Lanciotti R., Patrignani F., and Gardini, F. (2008) Antimicrobial Efficacy of Citron Essential Oil on Spoilage and Pathogenic Microorganisms in Fruit-Based Salads. *J. of Food Sci.* 73 (7): 331-38.
39. Hazan R., Levine A., and Abeliovich H. (2004) Benzoic Acid, a Weak Organic Acid Food Preservative, Exerts Specific Effects on Intracellular Membrane Trafficking Pathways in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. *Appl. and Environ. Microbiol.* 70 (8): 4457–4449.
40. Saranraj P. and Geetha M. (2012) Microbial Spoilage of Bakery Products and Its Control by Preservatives. *Int. J. of Pharm. & Biol. Arch.* 3(1):48-38.
41. Kregiel D. (2015) Health Safety of Soft Drinks: Contents, Containers, and Microorganisms. *BioMed Res. Int.* Article ID 128697, 15 p
42. Stratford M. and James S. A. (2003) Non-alcoholic beverages and yeasts,” in *Yeasts in Food*, T. Boekhout and V. Robert, Eds., pp. 309–345, Behr’s Verlag GmbH