



JOURNAL OF COLON AND RECTAL CANCER

ISSN NO: 2471-7061

RESEARCH

DOI: 10.14302/issn.2471-7061.jcrc-14-575

Restoration of Bowel Continuity after Emergency Hartmann's Procedure

José V. Roig^{1,8*}, Marta Aguado², Félix Lluís³, Rafael García-Calvo⁴, Javier Aguiló⁵, Juan Hernandis⁶, Míriam Cantos¹, Francisco Landete⁷, Juan García-Armengol^{1,8} on behalf of the Sociedad Valenciana de Cirugía Cooperative Group (SVCCCG)* *1. Consorcio Hospital General Universitario de Valencia, Department of General and Digestive Surgery. 2. Hospital Universitario Arnau de Vilanova. Valencia, Department of General and Digestive Surgery. 3. Hospital General Universitario de Alicante, Department of General and Digestive Surgery. 4. Hospital General de Castellón, Department of General and Digestive Surgery. 5. Hospital General de Castellón, Department of General and Digestive Surgery. 6. Hospital General de Elda, Department of General and Digestive Surgery. 7. Hospital General de Requena, Department of General and Digestive Surgery. 8. Hospital General de Requena, Department of General and Digestive Surgery. 8. Hospital Nisa 9 de Octubre. Valencia, Department of General and Digestive Surgery. 8. Hospital Nisa 9 de Octubre. Valencia, Department of General and Digestive Surgery. 8. Hospital Nisa 9 de Octubre. Valencia, Department of General and Digestive Surgery. 8. Hospital Nisa 9 de Octubre. Valencia, Department of General and Digestive Surgery. 8. Hospital Nisa 9 de Octubre. Valencia, Department of General and Digestive Surgery. 8. Hospital Nisa 9 de Octubre. Valencia, Department of General and Digestive Surgery. 8. Hospital Nisa 9 de Octubre. Valencia, Department of General and Digestive Surgery. 8. Hospital Nisa 9 de Octubre. Valencia, Department of General and Digestive Surgery. 8. Hospital Nisa 9 de Octubre. Valencia, Department of General and Digestive Surgery. 8. Hospital Nisa 9 de Octubre. Valencia, Celia Gencia-Calvo, Juan Hernandis, Francisco Landete, Marta Aguado, Celia Villodre, Féli*

Abstract

Aim: To analyze the factors involved in and the results of stoma reversal after an emergency Hartmann's operation.

Methods: A multicenter retrospective study from the Valencian Society of Surgery of patients who had undergone an emergent Hartmann's operation from 2004 to 2008. An analysis of the reversal rate and related factors, delay, and morbidity of reconstruction was performed.

Results: Three hundred sixty-two patients were studied. The most frequent initial diagnosis was colorectal cancer, followed by complicated acute diverticulitis; the primary surgical indication was acute peritonitis. After a median follow-up of 52 months, 151 patients (41.7%) underwent surgery to reverse the stoma at a median of 10 months after initial surgery. Diagnosis of diverticulitis or trauma, peritonitis as the surgical indication, and non-advanced tumors were associated with reversal. Multivariate analysis showed that only age and tumor stage were predictive of reversal. Postoperative complications occurred in 44% of the cases, and wound infection was the most common. There were 9 (6%) anastomotic leaks. Thirteen patients (8.6%) retained a permanent or temporary stoma after the attempted reconstruction.

Conclusion: Hartmann's reversal after emergency surgery is performed in less than half of all such patients and has significant morbidity.





Corresponding author:

José Vicente Roig, Ernesto Ferrando 32. 46980 Paterna (Valencia), roigvila@telefonica.net

Keywords: Hartmann's operation, Hartmann's reversal, Colonic obstruction, Acute peritonitis, Colostomy, Anastomosis.

Received : Aug 11, 2014

Accepted : Jul 13, 2016

Published: Jul 17, 2016;

Introduction

Although it is controversial and even defamed, Hartmann's operation remains a current practice in hospitals. Some indications for the operation are universally accepted, but in others, such as left colon obstruction, trauma and even purulent peritonitis, resection and primary anastomosis, have gained increasing acceptance [1-3]. Even in elective surgery, there have been recent observations that amputation of the rectum may be superior to an ultra-slow Hartmann's procedure in terms of morbidity in patients with rectal tumors that do not infiltrate the sphincter complex but in whom anastomosis is contraindicated [4]. Another point against the Hartmann's operation is attributed to a late reversal and a high post-operative morbidity rate [5-7].

When discussing Hartmann's reversal, is important to distinguish whether the indication was emergent or elective because many of the programmed cases are made with definitive intention. In addition, another indication for a Hartmann's procedure occurs following an anastomotic leak (AL) [8].

The aims of this study were to analyze the factors involved and to examine the results of stoma reversal after an emergency Hartmann's operation.

Material and Methods

A multicenter retrospective study was suggested to all members of Valencia Society of Surgery. Patients who received an emergency Hartmann's operation between 2004 and 2008 were eligible for this study. Operations performed after an AL or another emergency reoperation were excluded. The centers involved were given a database for data collection. Data were analyzed using the SPSS v. 15 for Windows (SPSS Inc., Chicago IL, USA). Student's t-test, Kruskal-Wallis or Mann-Whitney U-test were used to analyze the results of continuous variables. Categorical variables were analyzed using either the χ^2 test or Fisher's exact test. The actuarial study of the reconstruction of gastrointestinal continuity was performed using the Kaplan-Meier's test and log-rank test, and the significant variables in the univariate analysis in the Hartmann's reversal were entered into the multivariate proportional hazards Cox's regression model. A P-value <0.05 was considered statistically significant. Hospitals were identified by letters (A, B...F), depending on their overall reconstruction rate.

Results

Seven hospitals participated in the study, and 397 patients were identified for enrollment in this study. Thirty-five (8.8%) patients were excluded because they did not meet all of the inclusion criteria. Therefore, 362 patients were included in this study. There were 196 males and 166 females, with a mean age and standard deviation (SD) of 67.2 (16) years (range, 16-97 years). As shown in Table 1, the most common diagnosis was colorectal carcinoma (138 colonic and 34 rectal neoplasms), followed by acute diverticulitis, without significant differences among hospitals (P=0.11).

Generalized or local peritonitis was the most common indication for the Hartmann's procedure in 203



cases (56%). The mean postoperative stay (SD) was 16.7 (16) days (range, 4-133 days), with significant differences between hospitals that ranged from 12.6 to 20.4 days (P=0.025). One hundred patients (27.6%) presented with severe post-operative morbidity, and 46 (12.7%) died during the first month after surgery.

After a median (IQR) follow-up of 52 (34-65) months, 151 patients (41.7% of the whole group and 47.8% of the first-surgery survivors) were scheduled to have reversal of their stoma, with important differences among hospitals (range 19.5%-65.1%, P<0.001). The surgery was performed at a median (IQR) follow-up of 10 (7-15) months (range, 1-41 months). As shown in Table 2, the most common reason for not reversing the Table 1. Initial surgery

Indication for Hartmann's procedure	N (%)
Large bowel obstruction	150 (41.4)
Pericolonic abscess	57 (15.9)
Purulent peritonitis	74 (20.4)
Fecal peritonitis	72 (19.9)
Recurrence risk	2 (0.6)
Other	7 (1.9)
Diagnosis	
Malignant neoplasm*	182 (50.2)
Acute diverticulitis	127 (35.1)
Colonic ischaemia	13 (3.6)
Colonic volvulus	9 (2.5)
Tra Colonic trauma	8 (2.2)
Other	23 (6.3)
U.I.C.C. Stage** (N=182 malignancies)	
I	0
II	49 (26.9)
III	75 (41.2)
IV	58 (31.9)

Data are numbers with percentages between parenthesis

* 172 colorectal and 10 gynaecological malignancies ** U.I.C.C. International Union Against the Cancer

stoma was patient death, followed by comorbidity and metastatic disease, all of which exhibited significant differences between hospitals (P=0.005).



The mean age (SD) of patients undergoing reversal was 56.7 (16) years vs. 74.7 (11) for nonreversed patients (P<0.001), and the postoperative length of hospital stay (SD) of the first surgery was lower for the patients who were subsequently reversed than for those who were not reversed: 14.7 (14) vs. 18.7 (17) days, respectively (P=0.002). However, there were no differences between the months at risk of the closing. Actuarial analysis showed that stoma Hartmann's reversal was performed more frequently in younger, males and in patients who underwent the surgery for peritonitis. The possibility of reconstruction was decreased and more delayed in patients with malignancy, volvulus, or ischemia than in those who had the initial operation for diverticulitis, trauma, or other pathologies (Figure 1). Additionally, patients with advanced colorectal cancer were less frequently

Table 2. Causes for no stoma-reversal				
	N (%)			
Exitus*	102 (28.2)			
Comorbidities	45 (21.5)			
Metastatic disease	35 (16.7)			
Patient's refusal	24 (11.5)			
Lost of follow-up	15 (7.2)			
Local recurrence risk	8 (3.8)			
Local recurrence	8 (3.8)			
Local conditions	1 (0.5)			
Other	14 (6.7)			
Data are numbers with percentages in parentheses				

* 46 at the postoperative period and 56 during follow-up

reversed. Cox regression analysis showed the predictors for reconstruction were only age (P < 0.001) and tumor stage (Table 3).

Of the patients who underwent operation to reverse the stoma, 28% were preoperatively classified as having an American Society of Anesthesiologists score





Para ver esta película, debe disponer de QuickTime™ y de un descompresor.

Figure 1. Stoma maintenance based on diagnosis. Patients with colorectal cancer, colonic volvulus, or ischemia were less frequently reversed, and it was performed after a longer period.

(ASA) of III or IV, and 54.7% were classified as ASA II. Antegrade mechanical bowel preparation was used in 88.8% of the patients, and 26.6% of the patients were operated on by a surgeon who was specifically dedicated to colorectal surgery. An open approach was used in 148 patients (98%). The anastomosis was performed mechanically using a circular device in 69.2% of the patients, side to side stapling in 14.4% and hand sewing in 16.4%. In four patients (2.7%), the anastomosis was not feasible, and the patients kept their stoma *in situ*. Another four patients underwent a derivative ileostomy to protect the anastomosis. Occasional procedures such as cholecystectomy or repair of ventral hernia were also performed.

Statistically significant differences among hospitals included the length of the surgery, with an average (SD) of 158 (59) minutes (range 115-184 mins, P < 0.001), and postoperative hospital stay, with a mean (SD) of 12.2 (7.8) days (range 7.9-14.1, P=0.006). Postoperative complications were observed in 44% of the patients. The most common complications were surgical site infection in 35 patients and an anastomotic leak in nine patients. The anastomotic leak was treated by taking down the anastomosis in three patients, an associated ileostomy in two patients, a re-do operation without covering stoma in one patient and conservative treatment (percutaneous drainage) in three cases. Overall, 18 (11.9%) patients required a re-operation (attributed to leaks in 6 patients, acute wound failures in 9 patients and an intraabdominal abscess in one patient). Thirteen patients (8.6%) still had a stoma after surgery. Four (2.6%) patients died in the postoperative period after the stoma reversal (due to a duodenal perforation, intraabdominal abscess, catheter sepsis, or acute leukemia in one patient each).

The mean age of patients with post-operative complications was higher than the age of those who did not have any complications. The presence of complications was not related to any preoperative risk factor or the surgeon's specialization (Table 4). The postoperative stay (SD) was higher in patients with complications than in those without complications: 17.1 (9) vs. 8.3 (3) days (P<0.0001). When we specifically analyzed the anastomotic leaks, the only significant risk factor was the method used (25% leaks after a handsewn anastomosis vs. 2.4% in stapled anastomosis; *P*<0.0001). Postoperative mortality (SD) was significantly correlated with older age (mean age: 72.7 (6) years in patients who died vs. 56.3 (15) years for patients who did not die, P=0.032).

Discussion

After nearly a century since its description, the Hartmann's operation remains in use.¹ The main objective of this operation is to reduce the morbidity and mortality that are caused by an anastomotic failure, but it may also be used to treat advanced tumors or associated to fecal incontinence. In an emergency setting, a primary anastomosis has been shown to be safe in the presence of obstruction or diffuse peritonitis [3], but it requires technical expertise to perform under adverse conditions; therefore, the emergency surgeon may avoid performing an anastomosis. This approach is not free of problems. Complications of stoma range between 21% and 70%⁹ and the need for a re-operation to reconstruct the bowel continuity place the patient at risk of even further complications and generate another hospital stay, result in increased health costs, and have





		% reverted	Univariate P value	Multivariat P value
Sex			0.002	0.666
	Male	47.4		
	Female	35.5		
Hospital			0.011	0.319
	A	65.1		
	В	48.2		
	С	44.4		
	D	35.7		
	E	35.5		
	F	29.6		
	G	19.5		
lartmann's indication			0.014	0.885
	Colonic obstruction	32.7		
	Abscess	61.4		
	Purulent peritonitis	47.3		
	Fecal peritonitis	0.2		
	Recurrence risk	50		
	Other	42.8		
Tumour staging*			0.029	0.015
	II	46.9		
	III	25.3		
	IV	18.9		
Diagnosis			<0.001	0.109
	Malignant CRC**	29.6		
	Acute diverticulitis	56.7		
	Trauma	87.5		
	Ischemic colitis	15.4		
	Volvulus	44.4		
	Other	48.4		
rious complications at the first surgery				
			0.39	
	Yes	21.2		
	No	50		
				l

Table 3. Univariate and multivariate analysis of the factors associated with Hartmann's reversal

social impacts. In fact, the morbidity associated with a stoma reversal is estimated to be approximately 50%, and the risk of mortality is greater than 5% [5-7,10].

This study evaluated the long-term outcomes of a cohort of 362 patients undergoing an emergency Hartmann's procedure (excluding those performed after an anastomotic leak). The minimum follow-up was 18 months in this study, which involved an in-depth





Complications		N (%	o)						
Wound infection		35 (23							
Anastomotic leak		9 (6)							
Wound disruption		9 (6)							
Intra-abdominal abscess		8 (5.3)							
Diffuse peritonitis		4 (2.6)							
Atelectasis/Pneumonia		3 (2)							
Catheter sepsis Venous thromboembolism Urinary infection		2 (1.3) 2 (1.3) 1 (0.7)							
					Other Bick factors of postonor	ativo morbidity	22 (14.6)		
					Risk factors of postoper Continuous variables		Uncomplicated	Complicated	P value
Age (years) (SD)		53.8 (15)	60.4 (15)	0.009					
BMI Kg/m ² (SD)		27.9 (5)	28.4 (4)	0.653					
	(CD)	. ,							
Months after initial surgery	. ,	11.4 (6) 11.9 (7)		0.633					
Number of risk factors (SD)		1.2 (1.1)	1.4 (1.2)	0.225					
Lenght of surgery (minutes) (SD)	153 (54) 165 (64)		0.233					
Categorical variables		Complicat	ed (%)						
Sex				0.8					
	Men	37 (40.2)							
	Women	29 (49.1)		0 701					
ASA risk	T TT	40 (42.6)		0.701					
	I - II III - IV	49 (42.6) 17 (47.2)							
Diagnosis	111 - 1V			0.844					
Didgi10313	Colorectal neoplasm			0.011					
	Acute Diverticulitis	29 (4	-						
	Other	13 (44.8)							
Tumour stage (U.I.C.C.)*		· · · ·		0.87					
	II	10 (43.4)							
	III	7 (36.8)							
	IV	5 (45.4)							
First surgery indication				0.796					
	Obstruction	24 (48.9)							
	Peritonitis	40 (40.8)							
	Other	2 (50))						
Surgeon				0.582					
	Colorectal	16 (38.1)							
.	Non colorectal	48 (4	5)	0 = 1					
Anastomosis	Circular of		· - \	0.74					
	Circular stapler	46 (45							
	Linear stapler	10 (47.6)							
	Hand sewn	9 (37	<i>'</i> .5)						

_ _ _ _ _ _ _ _ _ _ _ _ _ _ _ _ _ _

_ _ _ _





analysis of patients who were previously included in a wider study [7] that did not assess the specific factors or problems related to emergencies.

In our series, the Hartmann's reversal was planned in 41.7% of the patients at a median of 10 months after initial surgery; this is slightly higher than the rate reported by a series from Spain, where it was performed in 25.9% of patients at a median of 13.3 months [11]. Other publications have reported stoma closure rates ranging between 45% and 68.5% that were performed between 4.5 and 9 months after the first operation [6,10,12-17], although some only included patients with diverticular disease [12,15,16]. In this series, patients with a benign pathology had a reconstruction rate almost double that of patients with malignant pathology; this is consistent with findings from other studies [17]. A large British multicenter review of 3,950 Hartmann's operations (2,853 in the emergency setting) showed a reversal rate of only 22.3%, ranging from 4 to 34% [18].

The most common reason not to close the stoma was postoperative mortality in 28.2% of the subjects based on the Hartmann's operation index and during the follow-up. Other reasons included comorbidities in 21.5% of the patients, metastatic disease, and patient refusal. The indication of Hartmann's operation as a definitive procedure is performed less frequently in an emergency setting than it is in an elective setting. Univariate analysis identified several factors associated with stoma closure, but only age and tumor stage remained significantly associated with stoma closure on multivariate analysis. Another study by Roque Castellano et al. [11] showed that age, male gender, and low anesthetic risk were associated with a greater number of reconstructions. These findings have been corroborated by other studies [15]. Riansuwan et al. [19] defined a risk-benefit score for stoma closure in patients undergoing surgery for acute diverticulitis, regarding age, ASA risk, perioperative transfusion, pulmonary complications, bowel perforation, and use of anticoagulation. It would be ideal to have a predictive table or algorithm to evaluate not only the risks at the time of the initial surgery but also the potential need for further closure of the stoma to facilitate the surgeon's decision making during emergent situations.

Another point of discussion is the minimum waiting time for reversal. To reduce peritoneal adhesions, the consensus is a waiting time of an average of three months [6,17,18,20]. However, this waiting period tends to increase, which can be attributed to factors such as the time needed to complete adjuvant chemotherapy or the negative impact of inclusion on a waiting list. However, some surgeons perform earlier closures. [6,15,19,21].

A laparoscopic approach for stoma reversal was used in only in three cases in our series. Several publications and systematic reviews have shown good results [22-25], although there are no prospective randomized studies that have stratified patients by surgical difficulty and risks [8]. Our postoperative complication rate of 44% is similar to previously reported rates [7,11,12,17,21]. One of the most serious complications, anastomotic leak, occurred in 6% of our patients, and 12% needed a reoperation in the Although risk factors postoperative period. for complications are similar to those of other digestive anastomosis [7,26-28], the results of our study showed that only advanced age was a negative predictor. Moreover, anastomotic leaks were associated with handsewn anastomosis. This may be due to the anastomosis technique used or the tumor location (e.g., on a long sigmoid stump, even affected by diverticular disease, compared to a stapled anastomosis at the sacral promontory) [17,29,30].

Given the low percentage of reversals, their delay, and morbidity, the indications for an emergency Hartmann's operation must be questioned. Although it is



lifesaving, it usually portends two surgeries that should be compared in terms of their risk of mortality and morbidity, economic and social costs, with a single operation, at least when the surgeon is faced with a left colonic obstruction or with localized and even diffuse purulent peritonitis in a patient with otherwise acceptable general conditions [2,4,8,31].

The daily practice of our emergency departments is not always ideal. Many times, patients with colonic emergencies are operated on by non-colorectal surgeons who rarely perform colon resections including anastomosis. This may result in higher morbidity rates. This is one of the few disadvantages related to working in very specialized units. However, an unprepared colon is not a contraindication for performing an anastomosis [32]. In addition, a multicenter study showed that a primary anastomosis protected by a derivative stoma provides an improved cost-benefit in terms of postoperative leakage, risks of keeping the stoma permanently and quality of life [33].

In conclusion, there is a high possibility of maintaining a permanent stoma after an emergent Hartmann's operation is high. The intent to reverse stoma is often made late, mainly due to the age and tumor stage in cases of malignancy, and is burdened with significant morbidity.

References

- Myers E, Winter DC (2010) Adieu to Henri Hartmann? Colorectal Dis 12: 849–850
- Vermeulen J, Gosselink MP, Busschbach JJ, Lange JF (2010) Avoiding or reversing Hartmann's procedure provides improved quality of life after perforated diverticulitis. J Gastrointest Surg 14:651–657
- 3. Trenti L, Biondo S, Golda T, Monica M, Kreisler E, Fraccalvieri D, *et al.* (2011) Generalized peritonitis due to perforated diverticulitis: Hartmann's procedure



or primary anastomosis? Int J Colorectal Dis 26: 377-384

- Molina Rodríguez JL, Flor-Lorente B, Frasson M, García-Botello S, Esclapez P, Espí A, *et al* (2011) Low rectal cancer: abdominoperineal resection or low Hartmann resection? A postoperative outcome analysis. Dis Colon Rectum 54: 958-962
- Antolovic D, Reissfelder C, Ozkan T, Galindo L, Büchler MW, Koch M, *et al* (2011) Restoration of intestinal continuity after Hartmann's procedure--not a benign operation. Are there predictors for morbidity? Langenbecks Arch Surg 396:989-996.
- Banerjee S, Leather AJ, Rennie JA, Samano N, Gonzalez JG, Papagrigoriadis S (2005) Feasibility and morbidity of reversal of Hartmann's. Colorectal Dis 7: 454-9
- Roig JV, Cantos M, Balciscueta Z, Uribe N, Espinosa J, Roselló V, *et al* (2011) Hartmann's operation. How often is it reversed and at what cost? A multicentre study. Colorectal Dis 13:e396-402
- Ruggiero R, Sparavigna L, Docimo G, Gubitosi A, Agresti M, Procaccini E, *et al* (2011) Post-operative peritonitis due to anastomotic dehiscence after colonic resection. Multicentric experience, retrospective analysis of risk factors and review of the literature. Ann Ital Chir 82: 369-375
- 9. Shabbir J, Britton DC (2010) Stoma complications: a literature overview. Colorectal Dis. 12: 958-964
- Aydin HN, Remzi FH, Tekkis PP, Fazio VW (2005) Hartmann's reversal is associated with high postoperative adverse events. Dis Colon Rectum 48: 2117-2126
- Roque-Castellano C, Marchena-Gomez J, Hemmersbach-Miller M, Acosta-Merida A, Rodriguez
 -Mendez A, Fariña-Castro R, et al (2007) Analysis of



the factors related to the decision of restoring intestinal continuity after Hartmann's procedure. Int J Colorectal Dis 22: 1091-1096

- Vermeulen J, Coene PP, Van Hout NM, van der Harst E, Gosselink MP, Mannaerts GH, *et al* (2009) Restoration of bowel continuity after surgery for acute perforated diverticulitis: should Hartmann's procedure be considered a one-stage procedure? Colorectal Dis 11: 619–624
- Boland E, Hsu A, Brand MI, Saclarides TJ (2007) Hartmann's colostomy reversal: outcome of patients undergoing surgery with the intention of eliminating fecal diversion. Am Surg 73: 664-667
- Khan AL, Ah-See AK, Crofts TJ, Heys SD, Eremin O (1994) Reversal of Hartmann's colostomy. J R Coll Surg Edinb 39: 239-242
- Maggard MA, Zingmond D, O'Connell JB, Ko CY (2004) What proportion of patients with an ostomy (for diverticulitis) get reversed? Am Surg 70: 928–931
- Salem L, Anaya, D, Kurt E, Roberts KE, Flum, DR (2005) Hartmann's colectomy and reversal in diverticulitis: A population-level assessment. Dis Colon Rectum 48: 988-995
- Keck JO, Collopy BT, Ryan PJ, Fink R, Mackay JR, Woods RJ (1994) Reversal of Hartmann's procedure: effect of timing and technique on ease and safety. Dis Colon Rectum 37: 243-248
- David GG, Al-Sarira AA, Willmott S, Cade D, Corless
 DJ, Slavin JP (2009) Use of Hartmann's procedure in England. Colorectal Dis 11: 308–312
- Riansuwan W, Hull TL, Millán MM, Hammel JP (2009) Nonreversal of Hartmann's procedure for diverticulitis: derivation of a scoring system to predict nonreversal. Dis Colon Rectum 52: 1400– 1408

- Pearce NW, Scott SD, Karran SJ (1992) Timing and method of reversal of Hartmann's procedure. Br J Surg 79: 839–841
- Wigmore SJ, Duthie GS, Young IE, Spalding EM, Rainey JB (1987) Restoration of intestinal continuity following Hartmann's procedure: the Lothian experience. Br J Surg 82:27–30
- 22. Delgado Gomis F, García Lozano A, Domingo del Pozo C, Grau Cardona E, Martín Delgado J (1998) Laparoscopic reconstruction of intestinal continuity following Hartmann's procedure. Rev Esp Enferm Dig 90: 499-502
- Haughn C, Ju B, Uchal M, Arnaud JP, Reed JF, Bergamaschi R (2008) Complication rates after Hartmann's reversal: open vs. laparoscopic approach. Dis Colon Rectum 51: 1232-1236
- Siddiqui MR, Sajid MS, Baig MK (2010) Open vs. laparoscopic approach for reversal of Hartmann's procedure: a systematic review. Colorectal Dis 12:733-741.
- 25. van de Wall BJ, Draaisma WA, Schouten ES, Broeders AM, Consten EC (2010) Conventional and Laparoscopic Reversal of the Hartmann procedure: a review of literature. J Gastrointest Surg 14: 743– 52
- 26. Fleming FJ, Gillen P (2009) Reversal of Hartmann's procedure following acute diverticulitis: is timing everything? Int J Colorectal Dis 24: 1219-1225
- Fawcett A, Shembekar M, Church JS, Vashisht R, Springall RG, Nott DM (1996) Smoking, hypertension, and colonic anastomotic healing; a combined clinical and histopathological study. Gut 38: 714– 718
- Okolica D, Bishawi M, Karas JR, Reed JF 3rd, Hussain F, Bergamaschi R (2011) Factors Influencing Postoperative Adverse Events After Hartmann's Reversal. Colorectal Dis 14: 369-373







- Benn PL, Wolff BG, Ilstrup DM (1986) Level of anastomosis and recurrent diverticulitis. Am J Surg 151: 269–271
- Aydin HN, Remzi FH, Tekkis PP, Fazio VW (2005) Hartmann's reversal is associated with high postoperative adverse events. Dis Colon Rectum 48: 2117-2126
- Abbas S (2007) Resection and primary anastomosis in acute complicated diverticulitis, a systematic review of the literature. Int J Colorectal Dis 22: 351-357
- Roig JV, García-Armengol J, Alós R, Solana A, Rodríguez-Carrillo R, Galindo P, *et al* (2007) Mechanical bowel preparation. A necessity or nothing more (or less) than the weight of tradition? Cir Esp 81: 240-246
- 33. Constantinides VA, Tekkis PP, Senapati A (2006) Prospective multicentre evaluation of adverse outcomes following treatment for complicated diverticular disease. Br J Surg 93: 1503–1513